UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,957	07/14/2003	Mitsushi Yamamoto	UNIU79.013AUS	6418
20995 7590 06/03/2009 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			EXAMINER	
			CHANG, VICTOR S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/03/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/618,957	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	VICTOR S. CHANG	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 8,10-17,19 and 20 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13,16 and 17 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8,10-12,14,15,19 and 20 is/are rejectory claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	withdrawn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	or		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be a should be acceptable. The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/618,957 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

- 1. In view of the pre-brief appeal conference decision, the previous Office action has been withdrawn. Claims 8, 10-12, 14, 15, 19 and 20 are active.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. In response to applicants' remarks filed 3/17/2009, the grounds of rejection have been updated as set forth below. Rejections not maintained are withdrawn.

Specification

4. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: the specification throughout contains numerous words with incomplete spelling. For example, in page 7, bottom paragraph, the terms "surface" are misspelled as "surfa e" or "surfa", the term "protective" is misspelled as "prot tive", the term "function" is misspelled as "fun tion", the term "other" is misspelled as "ther", and the term "thickness" is misspelled as "thi kness", etc. Appropriate corrections of the spelling errors throughout the specification are required in the next reply to avoid being held as nonresponsive.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,957 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

Rejections Based on Prior Art

5. Claims 8, 10-12, 14, 15, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 09-151360 [computer translation] in view of Masuda [US 20020064650A1].

JP '360 relates to a transparent surface protecting film which maintains a high transparency even if it is used over the long period of time, and has an antistatic effect [0028]. The film comprises a tacky (adhesive) layer on one surface of a transparent base material and an antistatic layer on the other surface [abstract]. Examples of base films include polyester, polycarbonate films, etc. [0008]. Examples of adhesive include acrylic pressure sensitive adhesive, etc. The adhesive layer thickness is 1-10µm [0011].

For claims 8, 10-12, 14, 15 and 20, JP '360 lacks teachings of the composition of the polyester film, and the use of an antistatic layer of a polymer comprising a main chain having pyrrolidinium ring containing repeating units. However, Masuda's invention relates to a protective film. The film comprises a polyester film having on at least one side thereof an antistatic coating. The antistatic coating has a specific surface resistance of not more than $1.0 \times 10^{13} \Omega$, and a haze of not more than 5.0% and a visible light transmittance of 3 to 70% (transparent) [0012]. Examples of the antistatic agents include polymers having a backbone containing repeating units of pyrrolidinium rings [0031]. Examples of useful polyesters include polyethylene terephthalate (PET), etc. [0017]. It would have been obvious selection to one of ordinary skill in the art to use PET to form the polyester film and the as the polymers having a backbone containing repeating units of pyrrolidinium rings, as taught by Masuda, to make the protecting film of JP '360, because the selection of a known material based on its suitability for

its intended use supported a *prima facie* obviousness determination. See MPEP § 2144.07. The collective teachings of prior art render the structure and composition of the claimed invention obvious. Regarding the transparency after a prolonged period of heat treatment, it is deemed to be inherent to the same chemistry as the claimed invention. Regarding the use language in the preamble, since statements of intended use do not serve to distinguish structure over the prior art, it has not been given any patentable weight. *In re Pearson*, 494 F.2d 1399, 1403, 181 USPQ 641, 644 (CCPA 1974).

Page 4

For claim 19, JP '360 teaches the use of an adhesive comprising acrylic ester copolymer [0026]. The ester group is inherently formed from monomers containing carboxyl groups and hydroxyl groups. Regarding the weight percentages of the monomers, since Masuda teaches the same adhesive composition for the same end use as the claimed invention, workable amounts of monomers in the acrylic ester copolymer are deemed to be obviously provided by practicing the invention of prior art for the same end use.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicants' arguments directed to withdrawn grounds of rejections are moot.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VICTOR S. CHANG whose telephone number is (571)272-1474. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 5:00 pm, Tuesday - Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,957 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Victor S Chang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794